## Part B-Program Assessment Worksheet Program Level Criteria- To Be Assessed by Evaluator

Name	of	the	Institution	
------	----	-----	-------------	--

Name of the Program :

## Criterion 1: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (75)

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks A	warded	Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons
				Marks	Total		
1.1	State the Vision and Mission of the Department and Institute and Program	5	A. Availability of vision and mission statements of the Department (1)				
	Educational Objectives		B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (1)				
			C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institute statements (1)				
			D. PEO statements and their appropriateness (2)				
1.2	Indicate where the Vision, Mission and PEOs are published and disseminated	5	A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (1)				
	among stakeholders		B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (1)				
			C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (3)				
1.3	Establish consistency of PEOs with Mission of the Department	5	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (2)				
			B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (3)				
1.4	Establish the correction between the courses and the POs & PSOs	15	A. Evidence of COs being defined for every course (5)				
			B. Explanation of course articulation matrix				
			table to be ascertained (5)  C. Explanation of program articulation matrix tables to be ascertained (5)				

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks A	warded	Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons
				Marks	Total		
1.5	Attainment of Course Outcomes	20					
1.5.1	Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome	5	A. List of assessment processes (1)      B. The quality /relevance of assessment				
	is based		processes & tools used (4)				
1.5.2	Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	15	A. Verification attainment levels as per the bench mark set for all courses (15)				
1.6	Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	20					
1.6.1`	Describe assessment tools and processes used for assessing the attainment of each of the	5	A. List of assessment tools & processes (2)				
	POs & PSOs		B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (3)				
1.6.2	Provide results of evaluation of each PO & PSO	15	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (10)			_	
			B. Overall levels of attainment (5)				
1.7	Evidence of Solving Complex Engineering Programs	5	A. Verification of documents related to mini projects/major projects/term projects/independent study/problem-based learning approach adopted or any other activities conducted specifically which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems (5)				
	Total of Criterion 1:	75	Overall Ma	rks for Cı	iterion 1:		

Criter	ion 2: Program Curriculum a	nd Teac	ning – Learning Processes (75)				
SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	-	arks arded	Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons)
				Marks	Total		
2.1	Program Curriculum	15					
2.1.1	State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes (POs) & Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs), mention the identified curricular gaps, if any	5	Process used to identify extent of compliance of University curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (3)      B. List the curricular gaps for the attainment of defined POs & PSOs (2)				
2.1.2	State the delivery details of the content beyond the syllabus for the attainment of POs and PSOs	10	A. Steps taken to get identified gaps included in the curriculum (letter to university/BOS) (2)     B. Delivery details of content beyond syllabus (5)     C. Mapping of content beyond syllabus with the POs & PSOs (3)				
2.2	Teaching-Learning Processes	60				•	
2.2.1	Describe the Process	15	A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2)				
	followed to improve quality of Teaching Learning		B. Pedagogical initiatives (2)				
	or reaching Learning		C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (2)				
			D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (2)				
			E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (2)		-		
			F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)				
			G. Student feedback on teaching learning process and actions taken (2)				
2.2.2	Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers,	15	A. Process for internal semester question paper setting, evaluation and effective process implementation (3)				
	assignments and evaluation		B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (2)				
			C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)				
			D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)				

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	_	rks	Overall Marks	Observations of Justifications/ Reasons	Evaluators	(Provide
				Marks	Total				
2.2.3	Quality of student projects	15	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to faculty members (1)						
			B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs and PSOs (3)						
			C. Process related to Industry (2)						
			D. Process for monitoring and evaluation (2)						
			E. Process to assess individual and team performance (2)						
			F. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (3)						
			G. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects etc. (2)						
2.2.4	Initiatives related to industry interaction/ industry /	10	A. Industry supported laboratories (2)						
	internship/summer training		B. Industry involvement in the curriculum design and in partial delivery of any regular courses for students (2)						
			C. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment (2)						
			D. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and industry training and actions taken there of (2)						
			E. Student feedback on initiative (2)						
2.5	Initiatives related the new education policy	5	Initiatives towards the new education policy (5)						
	Total of Criterion 2:	75	Overall Mark	s for Crit	erion 2:				

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Ma Awa Marks	 Overall Marks	Observations of Eva	luators (P	Provide Justific	ations/ Reasons
3.1	Enrolment Ratio	15	A. >=90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (15)  B. >=80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (12)  C. >=70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year (10)  D. >=60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year starting from current academic year (8)  E. >=50% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three academic years starting from current academic year starting from current academic years starting from current academic year (6)  F. Otherwise '0'			Sanctioned intake  Students enrolled at first year level  Enrolment ratio  Average enrolment ratio(ER)  Comments (if any):	CAY	CAYm1	CAYm2
3.2	Success Rate in the stipulated period of the program	15				<u> </u>			
3.2.1	Success rate without backlogs in any Semester/year of study Without Backlog means: No repeat(s) in any course in semester/ year of study	10	SI= (Number of students, who graduated from the program without backlog)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch and admitted in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable).  Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches.  Success rate without backlogs in any year of study = 10 * Average SI			Success Index (SI)  Average Success Index (SI)  Comments (if any):	<b>3</b>	LYGm1	LYGm2

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Award	_	Overall Marks	Observations of Ev	valuators (I	Provide Justifica	tions/ Reasons)
				Marks T	Total					
3.2.2	Success rate in stipulated period (actual duration of the program)	5	SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program with backlog in the stipulated period of course duration)/(Number of students admitted in the first year of that batch				Success Index	LYG	LYGm1	LYGm2
	(Total of with backlog +without backlog)		admitted in the first year of that batch and admitted in 2 <sup>nd</sup> year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)				(SI)  Average Success Index (SI)			
			Average SI = Mean of success index (SI) for past three batches  Success rate = 5 * Average SI				Comments (if any):			
3.3	Academic Performance in Second Year	5	Academic Performance Level = 0.5*Average API (Academic Performance Index)					CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
			API=((Mean of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year / 10)) * (number of successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)				API  Average API  Comments (if any):			
			Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the 3 <sup>rd</sup> year.							
3.4	Academic Performance in Third Year	5	Academic Performance Level=0.5* Average API (Academic Performance Index)					CAYm	1 CAYm2	CAYm3
			API = ((Mean of 3 <sup>nd</sup> Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 3 <sup>rd</sup> Year / 10)) * (number of successful students /students appeared in the examination)				API  Average API  Comments (if any):			
			Successful students are those who are permitted to proceed to the final year							

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	-	arks arded	Overall Marks	Observations of Eva	luators (Pro	vide Justificat	ons/ Reasons
				Marks	Total					
3.5	Placement, Higher studies and	15	Assessment Points = $15 *$ average of three years of [ $(X + Y + Z)/N$ ] where,					CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
	Entrepreneurship		X=Number of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment				Placement Index  Average			
			Y= Number of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)				Placement Index for past 3 years  Comments (if any):			
	Z= No. of students turned entrepreneur in engineering/technology.  N =Total number of final year students									
			N =Total number of final year students							
3.6	Professional Activities	20				•				
3.6.1	Professional societies/ chapters	5	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3)							
	and organizing engineering events		B. Number, quality of engineering events (2) (organized at Institute level- Institute/State/National/International)							
3.6.2	cechnical magazines,	5	A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3)							
	newsletters, etc.		B. Participation of Students from the program (2)							
3.6.3	Participation in inter- institute events by	5	A. Events within the state (1)							
	students of the program of study ( <b>at</b>		B. Events outside the state (1)							
	other institutions)		C. Prizes/awards received in such events (3)							
3.6.4	Participation in national/ International	5	A. Participation in National competitive events (2)							
	competitive events by students of the program of study		B. Participation in International competitive events (3)							
	Total of Criterion 3:	75	Overall Mark	s for Cri	terion 3:					

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Ma Awa	_	Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Providence	e Justificati	ions/ Reasons)
4.2	Faculty Cadre Proportion	15	Cadre Proportion Marks:  AF1 + AF2*0.6 + AF3*0.4 * 7.5  RF1 + AF2*0.6 + RF3  * If AF1 = AF2 = 0, then zero mark  * Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 15(Refer calculation in SAR)	Marks	Total		No.of Professors  No.of Associate Professors  No.of Assistant Professors  Comments (if any):	CAYm1	CAYm2
4.3	Faculty Qualification	15	FQ=1.5 * [{10X +4Y}/F] where,  X is no. of faculty with Ph.D.,  Y is no. of faculty with M.Tech,  F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty and no. of students required to be calculated as per 4.1)				No.of Ph.D  No.of M.Tech  Comments (if any):	CAYm1	CAYm2
4.4	Faculty Retention	10	<ul> <li>A. ≥90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (10)</li> <li>B. ≥75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (8)</li> <li>C. ≥60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (6)</li> <li>D. ≥50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (4)</li> <li>E. Otherwise (0)</li> </ul>				No.of Faculty Retained  Total No.of Faculty in Dept in CAYm:  Percentage of faculty retained  Average faculty retained for past years  Comments (if any):		CAYm1
4.5	Faculty competencies in correlation to curriculum	5	A. Specialization B. Research publications C. Course development D. Other relevant points						

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Ma Awa	_	Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Pr	ovide Justi	fications/	Reasons)
				Marks	Total					
4.6	Innovations by the Faculty in Teaching and Learning	5	A. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective presentation (2)     B. Availability of work on Institute Website (1)     C. Availability of work for peer review and critique (1)     D. Reproductivity and reusability by other scholars for further development (1)							
4.7	Faculty as participants in Faculty development	15	For each year: Assessment = 2×Sum/0.5RF					CAYm1	CAYm2	CAYm3
	/training activities/		Average assessment over three years				Assessment points are:			
	STTPs		starting from CAYm1(Marks limited to				Average assessment points			
			15)				for past 3 years			
							Comments (if any):			
							*			
4.0		20								
4.8	Research and Development	30								
4.8.1	Academic Research	10	A. Number of quality publications in					CAYr	n1 CAYm	2 CAYm3
			refereed/SCI Journals, citations,							
			Books/Book Chapters etc. (7)				No. of <b>Ph.Ds</b> awarded			
			B. Ph.D awarded during the		1		No. of <b>Scopus</b> indexed pa	pers		
			assessment period while working in				published  No. of <b>Web</b> of Science inde	wod		
			the institute (3)				papers published	exeu		
							Comments (if any):	•	•	•
							*			
4.8.2	Sponsored Research	10	Funded research from <b>outside</b> ;				CAYm1	CAYm	2 CAYm	3
	,		Cumulative CAYm1, CAYm2, CAYm3:							
			Amount>50 Lakhs- 10 Marks				No.of projects			
			Amount>40 to <50 Lakhs-7 Marks				Amount (Rs.In			
			Amount>30 to $\leq$ 40 Lakhs-5 Marks				Lakhs)			
			Amount≥15 to ≤30 Lakhs-3 Marks Amount<15 Lakhs- 0 Mark				Total amount for	l.	l.	
			AHIOGHE 113 Lakiis O Flaik				past 3 years			
							Comments (if any):			
							,			

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Mai Awai	_	Overall Marks	Observations of E	valuators (	(Provide Justific	ations/ Reasons)
4.8.3	Development Activities	5	A. Product development B. Research laboratories C. Working models/ charts/ monograms etc.	Marks	Total					
4.8.4	Consultancy (From Industry)	5	Consultancy; Cumulative CAYm1, CAYm2, CAYm3:  Amount >10 Lakhs - 5 Marks Amount ≤10 and ≥ 8 Lakhs-4 Marks Amount <8 and ≥ 6 Lakhs-3 Marks Amount <6 and ≥ 4 Lakhs -2 Marks Amount <4 to ≥ 2 Lakhs -1 Mark Amount <2 Lakhs - 0 Mark				No.of projects  Amount (Rs.In Lakhs)  Total amount for past 3 years  Comments (if any):		CAYm2	CAYm3
4.9	Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development System (FPADS)	5	A. A well-defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the assessment years (2)      B. Its implementation and effectiveness (3)							
4.10	Visiting/Adjunct/Emeri tus Faculty etc.	5	A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc. (1)      B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (2 marks for last 2 years:				No.of hours  Comments (if any):	CAY/m1	CAYm1/m2	CAYm2/m3
	Total of Criterion 4:	125	2*2=4)  Overall Marks	for Crite	rion 4:		Y Y			

Criterion 5:	Resource	(75)
--------------	----------	------

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		Overall Marks	Observations of Evaluators (Provide Justifications/ Reasons)
				Marks	Total		
5.1	Adequate and well- equipped laboratories, and technical manpower	25	A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (15)				
			B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (10)				
5.2	Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	5	Maintenance and overall ambience (5)				
5.3	Safety measures in laboratories	5	Safety measures in laboratories (5)				
5.4	Project laboratory	15	Facilities & Utilization (15)				
5.5	Feedback analysis and reward/corrective measures	5	A. Feedback collected for all courses: YES/NO (1)				
	taken, if any		B. Feedback collection process (1)				
			C. Average Percentage of students who participate (1)				
			D. Feedback analysis process (1)				
			E. Number of corrective actions taken (1)				

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		Overall Marks	Observations Reasons)	of	Evaluators	(Provide	Justifications/
				Marks	Total						
5.6	Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	10					L				
5.6.1	Adequacy of Budget allocation	5	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3)								
			B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)								
5.6.2	Utilization of allocated funds	5	A. Budget utilization for three years (5)								
5.7	Library and Internet	10									
5.7.1	Quality of learning resource (hard/soft)	6	A. Availability of relevant learning resource including e-resource and digital library (4)      B. Accessibility to students (1)								
			C. Support for self-learning (1)								
5.7.2	Internet	4	A. Available bandwidth and Wi-Fi availability (2)								
			B. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments and Security mechanism (2)								
	Total of Criterion 5:	75	Overall Ma	arks for C	riterion 5:						

SN	Sub Criteria	Max. Marks	Evaluation Guidelines (Marks)	Marks Awarded		Overall	Observations	of	Fyaluators	(Provide	Justifications/
				Marks	Total	Marks	Reasons)	01	Lvaiuatois	(FIOVICE	Justinications/
6.1	Actions taken based on the results of evaluation of each of the POs and PSOs	30	A. Documentation of POs and PSOs attainment levels (15)								
			B. Identification of gaps/short falls (5)								
			C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)								
6.2	Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (10)								
6.3	Improvement in Placement, Higher	15	A. Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement								
	Studies and Entrepreneurship		index 3.5) (Marks to begiven proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAYm3) Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring								
			industry and pay packages (5)  B. Improvement in Higher Studies admissions (5)  C. Improvement in number of		-						
6.4	Improvement in the	10	Entrepreneurs (5) Assessment is based on improvement								
0.4	quality of students admitted to the program	10	in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics marks in 12th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students (10)								
6.5	Remedial action taken on the observations made during last accreditation visit /New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced/Improvement made after last visit	10	New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced/Improvement made after last visit. (10)								
	Total of Criterion 6:	75	Overall Mar	ks for Cri	terion 6:						